

UNITED S: S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and rademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

L						
	08/798,115	02/12/97	ALLEMAN		Τ.,.	PARA-1479
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EXAM	INFR
			26M17(1826 🦠 🖵	MATAI	
	JOHN P SUT	TON HAW COHEN & F	OADMET	Water Commencer of the	(4F-1 F-1	
	BRYAN HINS 425 CALIFO		BUITE 900 T		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		SCO CA 94104			2601	12
			1	DA	ΓE MAILÈD:	and any or a series of the series
		i	NTERVIEW SUI		IE WAILED	08/26/97
		•			~	T. A.
All partic	ipants (applicant, applica	int's representative, PTO	personnel):			No. of the last of
(1)	Ahmad	Matar	(3)			- Park
(2)	John S	Sutton	(4)			
	01	22/97				
	THE VIEW					
		nal (copy is given to				
Exhibit s	shown or demonstration of	conducted: Yes N	o If yes, brief descr	ription: <i>N/P</i> >		<u>-</u> .
Agreeme	ent 🗌 was reached. 🗀] was not reached. $$	/A			
		was not reached. $^{\prime\prime}$	/A			
Claim(s)	discussed:	one.				
Claim(s)	discussed:	one d: None				
Claim(s) Identification Descript	ation of prior and discussed tion of the general nature that the partains error	one of what was agreed to if ore - amat. ors such as	an agreement was referring to the control of the co	to the 13) does	vrong li not rec	iner informed e entered beine numbers ite " celling
Descript Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co C	ation of prior art discussed tion of the general nature that the partains error (ample, c/a) re-write releasingtion, if necessary attached. Also, where red.)	one of what was agreed to if ore - and t. ors such as aim 8 (pag should not n claims. y, and a copy of the amendment	an agreement was referring referring te 24, line be und adments, if available, ats which would rend	laims could to the 13) does Perlined. App which the examiner agree the claims allowable in	wrong li not reco	ne numbers te " Celling ill fax an the claims allowable
Descript Co Co (A fuller must be attacher 1. X It	ation of prior art discussed tion of the general nature that the partains error aims 18-20 aims 18-20 aims 18-20 attached. Also, where red.)	one of what was agreed to if ore - andt ors such as aim 8 (pag should not no claims y, and a copy of the amerino copy of the amendment	an agreement was referring to the Control of the world rend the record of the substitute record	laims could the 13) does derlined. Apply, which the examiner agree the claims allowable istance of the interview.	wrong li not rec plicant a eed would render s available, a sumi	ne numbers te " Celling ite " Celling ill fax an the claims allowable mary thereof must be
Descript Co Co (A fuller must be attached 1. A fuller son to the son to th	ation of prior art discussed tion of the general nature that the partains error (ample Cample	one of what was agreed to if ore - andt. ors such as aim 8 (pag should not. or a copy of the amendment or copy of the amendment ilicant to provide a separate s been checked to indicate CLUDE THE SUBSTANCAPPLICANT IS GIVEN O	an agreement was referring Lo the Company of the Company of the contrary. As the contrary of the subsection of the subs	to the 13) does lettined. Apply, which the examiner agree the claims allowable in the claims allowable in the claims allowable. FORMAL WRITTEN RESERV. (See MPEP Section)	not rec. plicant a eed would render s available, a sumi	the claims allowable mary thereof must be
Descript Lon Cc (A fuller nust be attached 1. X It Unless IS NOT action h SUBST. 2. S	ation of prior art discussed tion of the general nature that the partains error (ample / Claims 18 -20 / Claim	of what was agreed to if of what was agreed to if or s such as aim 8 (pag should not one of the amendment or copy of the amendment is been checked to indicate the claims of the substance of the substance of the claims of the claims of the claims of the amendment of the copy of the copy of the amendment of the copy of the co	an agreement was referring to the Contrary. All the substitute record of the substitute record o	which the examiner agree the claims allowable istance of the interview. FORMAL WRITTEN RESEW. (See MPEP Section THIS INTERVIEW DATE and since the claims a	eed would render savailable, a sumi	the numbers The n
Descript Lon Ca (A fuller must be attacher 1. A lt Unless IS NOT action h SUBST. 2. S	ation of prior art discussed tion of the general nature that the partains error at the partains error at the partains error at the partains if necessary for appetite paragraph above has are ready been filled, ANCE OF THE INTERVISIONED THE Examiner's interview unless box 1	of what was agreed to if of what was agreed to if or s such as aim 8 (pag should not one of the amendment or copy of the amendment is been checked to indicate the claims of the substance of the substance of the claims of the claims of the claims of the amendment of the copy of the copy of the amendment of the copy of the co	an agreement was referring Lo Lhe Contrary The Local Action of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the last Office action of the last Of	which the examiner agree the claims allowable istance of the interview. FORMAL WRITTEN RESIDEN. (See MPEP Section THIS INTERVIEW DATE and since the claims	eed would render savailable, a sumi	the numbers The n
Descript Lton Ce LLory (A fuller must be attached 1. X It Unless US NOT action h SUBST. 2. S Examin	ation of prior art discussed tion of the general nature that the partains error at the partains error at the partains error at the partains if necessary for appetite paragraph above has are ready been filled, ANCE OF THE INTERVISIONED THE Examiner's interview unless box 1	of what was agreed to if of what was agreed to if of a Sach as a im 8 (pag should not no copy of the americant to provide a separate should be the substance CLUDE THE SUBSTANCE APPLICANT IS GIVEN OF EW. rview summary above (in the stress of the sach and the substance) above is also checked.	an agreement was referring Lo Lhe Contrary The Local Action of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the last Office action of the last Of	which the examiner agree the claims allowable istance of the interview. FORMAL WRITTEN RESIDEN. (See MPEP Section THIS INTERVIEW DATE and since the claims	eed would render savailable, a sumi	the numbers The nu

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-tace or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- -An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,

General Control

- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborato. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.